APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District APPLICANT: Stahelin Properties PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 28W787 Oak Lane, Warrenville, DuPage County, Illinois / Spring Brook Creek FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-47 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: ☐ There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) Comment [g1]: The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) Comment [g2]: The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Section 10 waterway. ✓ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: NAPERVILLE. ✓ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlanta National Wetland. Information Reviewed U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: NAPERVILLE, HA 154. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographie Maps: NAPERVILLE, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: October 23, 2006 Wetland Delineation Report by EnCAP, Inc. Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The on-site wetland is adjacent and contiguous to Spring Brook Creek, which flows to the West Branch DuPage River, which is a tributary of the DuPage River. The DuPage River flows into the Des Plaines River, which is navigable below the Hoffman Dam in Riverside, Illinois Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: destruction of terrestrial vegetation clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris Shelving changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated October 23, 2006, prepared by EnCAP, Inc. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: _

Date:

Approved by:

¹Wetlands are identified and defineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: CREI Acquisition 1, L.L.C. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: South of Huntley Road, east of Galligan Road in Huntley, Kane County, Illinois / S. Br. Kishwaukee River		
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-38 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field		
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.		
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:		
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway. 		
Information Reviewed		
 U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CRYSTAL LAKE. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: CRYSTAL LAKE, HA 253. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: CRYSTAL LAKE, 1992. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: January 11, 2007 CBBEL Wetland Delineation Report 		
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 is a large wetland complex that is adjacent and contiguous with a ditch that flows to the South Branch Kishwaukee River; and wetland 2 is associated both with wetland 1, as well as having an exposed tile that flows to the River. The South Branch Kishwaukee River flows to the Rock River, which is a navigable in-fact waterway.		
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soils wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:		
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:		
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria		
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]		
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated January 11, 2007, prepared by CBBEL. ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.		
Recommended by: Date: Date:		
Approved by:		

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Williams Architects / Village of Carol Stream PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: North of Rt. 64 and west of Kuhn Road in Carol Stream, DuPage County, Illinois / Klein Creek PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-29 Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WEST CHICAGO. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WEST CHICAGO, HA 202. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WEST CHICAGO, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: November 21, 2006 CBBEWL Wetland Delineation Report Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject 1.4 acre wetland is adjacent and contiguous with Klein Creek which flows through the subject parcel. Klein Creek is a tributary to the West Banch DuPage River, which flows into the DuPage River, and then into the Des Plaines River which is navigable below the Hoffman Dam in Riverside, Illinois Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HO rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated November 21, 2006, prepared by CBBEWL. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. ______ Date: 22 FEB 07 Recommended by: Approved by: Date: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.c., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987). ² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also

adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Inland Real Estate Development Corporation PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Proposed Stonefield Subdivision north of Empire Road and east of Swanberg Road in Campton Township, Kane County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-11 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ELBURN. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ELBURN, HA 229. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ELBURN, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: January 5, 2007 Wetland Delineation Report by CBBEL. Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): All three wetlands are part of a larger off-site wetland complex that is adjacent and contiguous to a tributary of Ferson Creek. Ferson Creek flows into the Fox River, a navigable in-fact waterway. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: destruction of terrestrial vegetation clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soils other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries Main This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated January 5, 2007, prepared by CBBEL. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Date: 2 FFB 07 Recommended by: Approved by:

Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Pasquinelli Development Group PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: North of Plank Road and East of Barr Road in Elgin, Kane County, Illinois / Pingree Creek FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-3 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:

☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: ☐ There are no waters on the project site.☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: PINEGREE GROVE. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: PINGREE GROVE, HA 232. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: PINGREE GROVE, 1992. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ______. U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: December 12, 2006 Hey and Associates, Inc. Wetland Delineation Report Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 is part of a larger off-site wetland complex which is adjacent and contiguous to a tributary of Pingree Creek; and Wetland 2 is part of the creek channel and the channel itself. Pingree Creek flows into Tyler Creek, which is a tributary of the Fox River, a navigable in-fact waterway. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soils wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated December 12, 2006, prepared by Hey and Associates, This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Date: 20 FEB 07 Recommended by: Approved by: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: RJN Group PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Industrial Redevelopment Site south of Aucutt Road and west of Route 31 in Montgomery, Kane County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-4 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:

☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: ☐ There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: AURORA SOUTH. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: AURORA SOUTH, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: December 19, 2006 Wetland Delineation Report by CBBEL. Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject on-site wetlands 1 and 2 are adjacent and contiguous with the two tributary waters 1 and 2, which flow to the Fox River, a navigable in-fact waterway. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soils other: \bowtie wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is <u>not</u> likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated December 19, 2006, prepared by CBBEL. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Approved by:

Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Dail Cook PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: LRC-2006-1341
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1341 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 □ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: RICHMOND. □ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: RICHMOND, HA 303. □ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: RICHMOND, 1992. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): A portion of the Nippersink Creek and associated wetland flows through the subject properties. The Nippersink Creek is tributary to the Fox River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is <u>not</u> likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by: Date: 2 13 0 +
Date: Date

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: J.J. Calumet Venture, LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: South of Ring Road And West of Torrence Avenue In Calumet City, Cook County, Illinois (Section 24, T36N, R14E)
FILE NUMBER: 200400970 (LRC-2004-13070) PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☑ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Hydrology Data dated January 5, 2007 preprared by V3.
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Area 1 and Area 2, as referenced in your delineation report, are under the jurisdiction of this office. Area 1 is considered a high-quality aquatic resource and is adjacent to the Little Calumet River. Area 2 is the Little Calumet River and is considered a navigable water. Area 3 is not considered wetland because sufficient hydrology was not demonstrable.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: □ clear, natural line impressed on the bank □ destruction of terrestrial vegetation □ the presence of litter and debris □ shelving □ changes in the character of soil □ other: □ wetland boundary
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by: $\frac{5}{100}$
Approved by: Date:
¹ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District APPLICANT: Bradford McAtee PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: West side of Highway 20, south of Marengo Road in Coral Township, McHenry County, Illinois / West Branch Union Creek PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ○ Office ☐ Field FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1373 Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). Based on available information: ☐ There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MARENGO SOUTH. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MARENGO SOUTH, HA 463. USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: MARENGO SOUTH, 1968. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: November 28, 2006 Hey and Associates, Inc. Wetland Delineation Report Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject 0.39 acre wetland is adjacent and contiguous with the West Branch Union Creek, which flows into the South Branch of the Kishwaukee River. The South Branch of the Kishwaukee River flows into the Kishwaukee River; and the Kishwaukee River is a tributary of the Rock River which is a navigable in-fact waterway. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated November 28, 2006, prepared by Hey and Associates, This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Recommended by: Approved by: ¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Russ Anderson PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Johnsburg
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1340 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: RICHMOND. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: RICHMOND, HA 303. □ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: RICHMOND, 1992. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2004, 2002, 2001 □ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY □ Site Visit Conducted on: Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Referencing the Wetland Delineation Report dated November 19 2006 as prepared by DK Environmental Services, Inc., Wetland A is tributary to the Fox River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated November 26, 2006, prepared by DK Environmental Services, Inc. ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Date: 2/13/07
Approved by:
Typiored by.

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District APPLICANT: Summerhill Custom Homes PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: East of Sheer Road and North of Delaney Road in Frankfort, Will County, Illionis PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1339 Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MANHATTAN. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MANHATTAN, 211. ■ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: MANHATTAN, 1990. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ___ Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: July 14, 2006 Wetland Delineation Report by LRMG. Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Subject wetland is contiguous and adjacent to a tributary of Jackson Creek; Jackson Creek flows into the Des Plaines River, which is navigable below the Hoffman Dam in Riverside, Illinois. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated July 14, 2006, prepared by LRMG. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Date: 12 FEB 07 Recommended by: Approved by: ¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Village of Carol Stream PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Plum Grove Road to Army Trail Road in the village of Carol Stream, DuPage County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-848 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: ☐ There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WEST CHICAGO. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WEST CHICAGO, HA 202. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WEST CHICAGO, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY... Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There is an existing drainageway onsite which is an unnamed tributary to the West Branch DuPage River that flows to the DuPage River to the Des Plaines River which is a section 10 waters of the U.S. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Date: 2-12-07 Recommended by: Approved by: Date: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Randy Sara	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Bangs Lake/Wauconda	
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1353	2006-1353 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field	
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project There are non-jurisdictional wate There are waters of the United St	ers on the project site.	
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:		
☐ The presence of waters which are cuinterstate or foreign commerce, including of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of a tributary to an intelement of the presence of wetlands adjacent to the presence of an isolated water (e.	the United States present on the project site. rrently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters cluding interstate wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) restate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, o other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).	
Information Reviewed		
U. S. Geological Survey Hydrol USDA Natural Resources Conso U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Mi U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Mi U. S. Geological Survey 15 Min	ervation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. nute Topographic Maps: WAUCONDA, 1993. nute Historic Quadrangles: nute Historic Quadrangles: punty 1939, 2000, 2004, & 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2005	
	ecked above): Bangs Lake is tributary to the Fox River, a navigable water of the the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.	
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and Ordinary High Water Mark ☐ clear, natural line impressed ☐ the presence of litter and deb ☐ changes in the character of so ☐ wetland boundary	k indicated by: on the bank	
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:		
Area under consideration is likel	ters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) y to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ikely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria	
☐ Headquarters declined to approve juri	sdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]	
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetlan This office does not confirm your we	d delineation report dated , prepared by etland boundary Date:	
Recommended by:	Date: 2/12/0/	
Approved by:	Date:	
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the me	thods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,	

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District APPLICANT: Paul Ptak PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Lake Marie/Antioch FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1325 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: GRAYSLAKE, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date):Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004, & 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY. Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Gages Lake is tributary to the Des Plaines River, a navigable water of the United States below Hoffman Dam, and is therefore also a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank ✓ destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving A changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Recommended by: __ Approved by: Date:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wotlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Shady Hills Homeowners Association	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Lake Marie/Antioch
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1358	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ☐ Field
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the There are waters of the United States on the	
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including in The presence of a tributary to an interstate wat The presence of wetlands adjacent ² (borderin except for those wetlands adjacent to other we The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrast sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, Other: Section 10 waterway.	ed, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport swhich are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters terstate wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) for or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) g, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, tlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) ate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats,
Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National	
 U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atla: ✓ USDA Natural Resources Conservation S ✓ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topo ✓ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Histo ✓ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Histo 	s: dervice Soil Survey for Lake County. degraphic Maps: BARRINGTON, 1993. deric Quadrangles: degraphic Quadrangles:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked about jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.	ove): The Fox River is a navigable water of the United States under the
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicates clear, natural line impressed on the bath the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary	d by:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Area under consideration is likely to have	in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria e been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction of	on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineat This office does not confirm your wetland boundaries	
Recommended by:	Date: 0/1///
Approved by:	Date:
	criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Bill Smith PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Richmond
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1279 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed □ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: RICHMOND. □ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: RICHMOND, HA 303. □ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: RICHMOND, 1992. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2005, 2004, 2001 □ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY □ Site Visit Conducted on: 3/28/06 □ Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1, as identified in the Wetland Delineation Report prepared by Hey and Associates, Inc. dated April 12, 2006 and the Wetland Delineation and Assessment Report dated October 5, 2004 as prepared by V3 Consultants includes the Nippersink Creek and adjacent fen wetlands. The Nippersink Creek is tributary to the Fox River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated 4/12/06 and 10/5/04, prepared by Hey and Associates, Inc and V3 Consultants. ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by:
Approved by:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISIC U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Fidelity Wes of Eastgate, Inc.	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Des Plaines River/Long Grove
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-23475	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ⊠ Field
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site There are non-jurisdictional waters on There are waters of the United States of	the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
interstate or foreign commerce, including all w of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (includin The presence of a tributary to an interstate The presence of wetlands adjacent? (bord except for those wetlands adjacent to othe The presence of an isolated water (e.g., in	y used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport raters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters are interstate wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) dering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US,
 U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic USDA Natural Resources Conservati U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute I U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute I U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute I 	ion Service Soil Survey for Lake County. Topographic Maps: WHEELING, 1993. Historic Quadrangles: Historic Quadrangles: 1939, 2000, 1004, & 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2005 hps: LAKE COUNTY.
The Des Plaines River is a navigable water of the U	1 above): Wetland 1 is tributary to the Des Plaines River via Aptakisic Creek, nited States below Hoffman Dam and therefore all of its tributaries, including of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 3 Ordinary High Water Mark indi clear, natural line impressed on the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary	icated by:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Area under consideration is likely to l	isted in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdict	ion on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Inc.	ineation report dated November 22, 2006, prepared by CHey and Associates,
Recommended by:	Date: 2/12/07
Assessed by	Date:
Approved by:	Date:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Merryman Excavation PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 80 Acre South Street Parcel just east of Franklinville Road in Woodstock, McHenry County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1331 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MARENGO NORTH. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MARENGO NORTH, HA 495. ☑ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☑ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): ☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY ☐ Site Visit Conducted on: ☒ Other information: October 30, 2006 Wetland Delineation Report by Hey & Associates, Inc.
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject wetland is adjacent and contiguous to Franklinville Creek, which flows to the Kishwaukee River. The Kishwaukee River flows into the Rock River, which is a navigable in-fact waterway.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated October 30, 2006, prepared by Hey & Associates, Inc. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corns Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Residential Land Fund PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Harvard
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1046 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CAPRON. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: CAPRON, HA 498. USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: CAPRON, 1970. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):2005, 2004 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: 9/21/06, 10/20/06 Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Referencing the Wetland Delineation Report dated September 27, 2006 as prepared by Tetra Tech Inc., Wetland 1, otherwise known as Mokeler Creek, flows west to the Piscasaw Creek, which is tributary to the Kishwaukee River. The Kishwaukee River drains to the Rock River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated September 27, 2006, prepared by Tetra Tech Inc. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by: Date: 2/1/07
Date: 2 1 0 7

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District APPLICANT: Randy Byers PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Lake Marie/Antioch FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1119 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WAUCONDA, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date):Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004, & 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY. Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Lake Marie is part of the Fox River, a navigable water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving Changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Recommended by: Approved by: Date: Wetlands are identified and delincated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delincation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wotlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Michael Sull Illinois / Ferson Creek	ivan PROJECT LOCATION/W	ATERWAY: 37W694 Bolcu	m Road, S	t. Charles, Kane County
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006	-1380 PROJECT REVIEW C	COMPLETED: 🛭 Office 🖺	Field	
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available There are no wa There are non-j There are water	information (JD) (For sites regul information: aters on the project site. urisdictional waters on the project s of the United States on the project waters of the United States and nor	site. et site.		
Basis of Jurisdictional Dete	rmination:			
☐ The presence of was interstate or foreign come of the U.S.) (33 CFR 32 ☐ The presence of at ☐ The presence of at ☐ The presence of we except for those we ☐ The presence of an sandflats, wetlands, slou ☐ Other: ☐ Section 10 waterwas	erstate waters (including interstate ributary to an interstate water or ot tlands adjacent ² (bordering, contitlands adjacent to other wetlands, tisolated water (e.g., intrastate lake ghs, prairie potholes, wet meadow.	ere used in the past, or may be are subject to the ebb and flow wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a her water of the US. (33 CFR guous, or neighboring) to inter (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) s, rivers, streams (including in	y of the tid (2)) 328.3 (a)(5 rstate or other	e (i.e., navigable waters 5)) her waters of the US,
 U. S. Geologic USDA Natura U. S. Geologic U. S. Geologic U. S. Geologic Aerial Pbotogic Advanced Ider Site Visit Conc 	Wildlife Service National Wetland at Survey Hydrologic Atlas: GEN Resources Conservation Service Stal Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic at Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Qual Survey 15 Minute Historic Qual and Survey 15 Minute Historic Qual aphs (Name & Date): httfication Wetland Maps: KANE ducted on: 09 January 2007 ion: December 11, 2006 Wetland	EVA, HA 142. Soil Survey for Kane County. Maps: GENEVA, 1993. drangles: drangles:	x Environn	nental, Inc.
Rationale for Basis (applies waterway.	to any boxes checked above): Fe	rson Creek is a tributary of the	: Fox Rive	r, a navigable in-fact
clear, natural the presence of	er Mark indicated by: line impressed on the bank of litter and debris character of soils	destruction of term shelving other:	estrial veg	etation
Basis for Declining Jurisdic	tion:			
Area under con	ne presence of waters listed in 33 C sideration is likely to have been ju sideration is <u>not</u> likely have been j	risdictional under pre-SWANG	CC Migrate	ory Bird Rule criteria
Confirmation of Wetland B This office concurs Environmental, Inc. This office does no	with your wetland delineation report confirm your wetland boundary.	ort dated 11 December 2006,	prepared b	y Phoenix
Recommended by:		Date:	051	EB 07
Approved by:	-5-	Date:	os	F3307
occurrence of hydrophytic vegeta	neated using the methods and criteria e ation, hydric soils and wetland hydrolog orns Wetland Delineation Manual (198	gy). Processes for determining we		

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Ray Davis	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Fox Lake/Fox Lake
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1186	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ☐ Field
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the p There are non-jurisdictional There are waters of the Unite	waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
☐ The presence of waters which ar interstate or foreign commerce, included of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate waters ☐ The presence of a tributary to an ☐ The presence of wetlands adjace except for those wetlands adjace except for those wetlands adjace water of an isolated water sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, praudther: ☐ Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway.	s of the United States present on the project site. e currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport ding all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable water s (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) nt ² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, nt to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) or (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, irie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
 U. S. Geological Survey Hy ✓ USDA Natural Resources C ✓ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 ✓ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 ✓ U. S. Geological Survey 15 ✓ Aerials (Name & Date):Lak 	vice National Wetland Inventory: Adrologic Atlas: Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. Minute Topographic Maps: WAUCONDA, 1993. Minute Historic Quadrangles: Minute Historic Quadrangles: Minute Historic Quadrangles: Minute Historic Quadrangles: Minute Historic August 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2005 etland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
	s checked above): Long Lake is tributary to the Fox River, a navigable water of the of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.
Crain Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR: Ordinary High Water I ☐ clear, natural line impre ☐ the presence of litter and ☐ changes in the character ☐ wetland boundary	Mark indicated by: ssed on the bank
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Area under consideration is Area under consideration is	f waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve	jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your weel. This office does not confirm you	
Recommended by:	Date:
Approved by:	Date:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using th	e methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., ils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary
Jane 30	and the state of t

from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Gus Petrakopoulus PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 628 Montgomery Road, Montgomery, Kane County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1385 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: AURORA SOUTH. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ■ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: AURORA SOUTH, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):Fall 2002, Summer 2004 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: August 23,2006 Property survey showing all gravel and concrete. Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no waters present on the site. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soils wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Date:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Carmen Czubik PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Lot 46, Fox Hills Unit 1-A or 13835 Dixon-Way Drive in unincorporated Lemont, Cook County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-34 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information:
☐ There are no waters on the project site. ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. ☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site. ☐ There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
 □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: Man-made detention basin. □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed □ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: SAG BRIDGE. □ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: SAG BRIDGE, 149. □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: SAG BRIDGE, 1993. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ Aerials (Name & Date):1980, 1993, 1997, 2002 and 2004. □ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: □ Site Visit Conducted on: □ Other information: Information package submitted as part of November 28, 2006 letter.
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject detention basin was constructed prior to 1984 as part of the subdivision, and therefore is exempt. However, it does have a surface water outlet to a creek.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is <u>not</u> likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by:
Approved by: Date:
¹ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.c., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.